VILLAGE OF WHITEFISH BAY
Minutes of Architectural Review Commission
January 9, 2020

Chairperson – Lauren Triebenbach - Board Members present: Charles Buscher, Jason Stuewe & Tammy Herpel. Village Inspector, Mike Belsha

The meeting came to order at 5:30 p.m.

The first item on the agenda is 5135 N. Woodburn St. The proposed project involves the design building off the symmetry of the existing original residence by adding matching bay windows on each side of the front entry foyer. The original windows in this portion of the home will be replaced to match the 2005 addition double hung windows with grills in the upper sash. Dillan Sincere, the project manager, was present to explain the submitted plans while the Board reviewed them and video. Discussion keys: Two double hung windows on front Bay windows seem too small, one would look better. North elevation casement windows look better as tall, skinny windows (unbalanced). New roof materials and overhangs not consistent with rest of the house.

Neighbor in attendance: Jason Hernandez-5109 Woodburn-thinks it looks great, supports what they are doing. After further discussion, Jason Stuewe made a motion to approve the plans as submitted, with the following condition: coach lights at the front door should stay. Charles Buscher seconded. A vote was taken and passed. (4-0)

The ARC minutes from the December 19, 2019 meeting were reviewed. Jason Stuewe made a motion to approve them as submitted. Tammy Herpel seconded. A vote was taken and unanimously passed. (4-0)

With no other matters on the agenda, Charles Buscher made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 5:46 P.M. Jason Stuewe seconded. A vote was taken and unanimously passed. (4-0)
ARC Checklist

Setbacks compatible per 16.31 1. A.?
- Front: NA< <YES NO
- Side: YES NO
- Rear: YES NO

Height
- Most Design areas limited to 25'
- Between 25.1' – 30’ design area must have a pattern of this height: NA< <YES NO
- Between 30.1’ – 35’ addition requirements met per RDG: YES NO

Entries and Porches
- Entries are consistent with the Design Area: YES NO
- Entry is consistent with the style of the home: YES NO
- Entries should be retained with remodels: YES NO
- Entry is prominent and oriented to the street (unless Design Area pattern): YES NO

Garages and Parking Areas
- Garages location is consistent with Design area: YES NO
- Attached garage is NOT the dominant feature when viewed from the road: NA< <YES NO
- Attached garages at the front or side are not wider than ½ the width of the structure: YES NO
- Three garages meet RDG specs in 16.31 1 D. iii.: YES NO
- Attached garages on corner lots does not cause paving at or near the corner.: YES NO
- Front facing attached garage single door can't exceed 30% of the combined width of structure: YES NO
- Driveway pavement is minimized as per the RDG: YES NO

Scale and Massing
- Compatible to the adjacent houses: YES NO
- Scale and mass facing public street is compatible with Design Area: YES NO
- Foundation height is compatible with Design Area: YES NO

Specific Design Elements of Architectural Style
- Proposed project is architecturally consistent on all sides concerning the following:
  - Siding material is consistent with style of house: YES NO
  - Roofing material is on approved list: YES NO
  - Roof slopes are compatible: YES NO
  - Window styles/size/proportions are compatible: YES NO
  - Decorative features are compatible (corbels, rails, columns, etc.): YES NO
  - Chimneys (generally masonry): YES NO
  - Garages and Sheds are compatible with house style: YES NO

Misc.
- Exterior lighting meets RDG (pg 185): YES NO
- Site Plan:
  - Project does not impair lot's beauty: YES NO
  - Drainage approved by ARC (if NO, the Village staff to review): YES NO

If no to any of the above, mitigation measures are (16.31 III. B. 1-7)

Window sizes
Bay window roof