

VILLAGE OF WHITEFISH BAY
Minutes of Architectural Review Commission
Conducted on-line
May 20, 2021

Chairperson: Lauren Triebenbach- Board Members present: James Hoffman, Heather Goetsch, David Domres, Susy Azcueta, Kyle Alvarez, and Meg Baniukiewicz. Village Inspector, Mike Belsha

The meeting came to order at 5:30 p.m.

The **first** item on the agenda is **4936 N Berkeley Blvd.** The proposed project for review is a 12' by 16' wood gazebo to be placed over an existing patio. Ken Malle, the homeowner and Jeff Dardis, the contractor, were present to explain the project while the Board reviewed the submitted plans.

Discussion Keys: Roof material, roof pitch compatibility with house, drainage, color of roof material.

After further discussion, James Hoffman made a motion to approve the submitted plans with the following conditions: add gutter that matches the color of standing seam roof and downspouts. David Domres seconded. A vote was taken and approved. (6-1)

The **second** item on the agenda is to review and discuss proposed changes to the ARC's submittal checklist. This item was tabled on January 21, 2021. Discussion held regarding the checklist as a whole.

After further discussion, David Domres made a motion to approve the ARC Checklist with the following conditions: Change "encourage" under streetscape to "encouraged". Streetscape should also include top of foundation height for proposed project and finished grade for proposed project adjacent structures. Heather Goetsch seconded. A vote was taken and unanimously approved. (7-0)

The ARC minutes from the May 6, 2021 meeting were reviewed. Heather Goetsch made a motion to approve them as submitted. James Hoffman seconded. A vote was taken and unanimously approved. (7-0)

With no other matters on the agenda, David Domres made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 6:15 P.M. Heater Goetsch seconded. A vote was taken and unanimously approved. (6-0)

4936 N Berkeley

ARC Checklist

Setbacks compatible per 16.31 1. A.?

Front	YES	NO
Side	YES	NO
Rear	YES	NO

Height N/A

Most Design areas limited to 25'	YES	NO
Between 25.1' – 30' design area must have a pattern of this height	YES	NO
Between 30.1' – 35' addition requirements met per RDG	YES	NO

Entries and Porches N/A

Entries are consistent with the Design Area	YES	NO
Entry is consistent with the style of the home	YES	NO
Entries should be retained with remodels	YES	NO
Entry is prominent and oriented to the street (unless Design Area pattern)	YES	NO

Garages and Parking Areas N/A

Garages location is consistent with Design area	YES	NO
Attached garage is NOT the dominant feature when viewed from the road	YES	NO
Attached garages at the front or side are not wider than ½ the width of the structure	YES	NO
Three garages meet RDG specs in 16.31 1 D. iii.	YES	NO
Attached garages on corner lots does not cause paving at or near the corner	YES	NO
Front facing attached garage single door can't exceed 30% of the combined width of structure	YES	NO
Driveway pavement is minimized as per the RDG	YES	NO

Scale and Massing N/A

Compatible to the adjacent houses	YES	NO
Scale and mass facing public street is compatible with Design Area	YES	NO
Foundation height is compatible with Design Area	YES	NO

Specific Design Elements of Architectural Style

Proposed project is architecturally consistent on all sides concerning the following:		
Siding material is consistent with style of house N/A	YES	NO
Roofing material is on approved list	YES	NO
Roof slopes are compatible	YES	NO
Window styles/size/proportions are compatible N/A	YES	NO
Decorative features are compatible (corbels, rails, columns, etc.) N/A	YES	NO
Chimneys (generally masonry) N/A	YES	NO
Garages and Sheds are compatible with house style N/A	YES	NO

Misc.

Exterior lighting meets RDG (pg 185) N/A	YES	NO
Site Plan		
Project does not impair lot's beauty	YES	NO
Drainage approved by ARC (if NO, the Village staff to review)	YES	NO

If no to any of the above, mitigation measures are (16.31 III. B. 1-7)

Concern about drainage coming off the roof ; roofing material not on approved list; hip roof is not consistent with existing residence
